

# EFFECT OF CONCENTRATE TO ROUGHAGE RATIO AND BAKER'S YEAST SUPPLEMENTATION DURING HOT SEASON ON PERFORMANCE OF LACTATING BUFFALOES

GAAFAR, H. M. A.<sup>1</sup>, MOHI EL-DIN<sup>,</sup> A. M. A.<sup>1</sup>, BASIUONI<sup>,</sup> M.I.<sup>2</sup>, EL-RIEDY K. F. A.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Dokki, Egypt; <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh University, Egypt

# ABSTRACT

Sixteen lactating buffaloes after 8 weeks of calving in the 2<sup>nd</sup> to the 5<sup>th</sup> lactating season, weighing 500 to 600 kg during summer season were used in a complete switch–back design (Lucas, 1956) with four groups and three successive experimentation periods. Buffaloes in the first and the second group were fed ration consisting of 60% concentrate feed mixture and 40% roughages (berseem hay and rice straw) without (G<sub>1</sub>) or with 15 g baker's yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*)/head/day (G<sub>2</sub>) on DM basis. While those in the third and the fourth group were fed ration consisting of 40% concentrate feed mixture and 60% roughages on DM basis (berseem hay and rice straw) without (G<sub>3</sub>) or with 15 g baker's yeast/head/day (G<sub>4</sub>).

Obtained results showed that the contents of dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE) and nitrogen free extract (NFE) tended to increase; their digestibilities and total digestible nutrients (TDN) and digestible crude protein (DCP) values increased significantly (P<0.05), however, the contents of CF and ash tended to decrease and CF digestibility decreased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of concentrate and decreasing roughages level. The digestibilities of all nutrients and nutritive values increased significantly (P<0.05) with barker's yeast supplementation. The intake of DM, TDN and DCP increased significantly (P<0.05) with baker's yeast supplementation.

Ruminal pH value decreased significantly (P<0.05), while ruminal total volatile fatty acids (TVFAs) and ammoniacal nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) concentrations increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of concentrate. However, ruminal pH value and NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration decreased significantly (P<0.05) and TVFAs concentration increased significantly (P<0.05) with baker's yeast supplementation. The yield of actual milk increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of concentrate as well as with baker's yeast supplementation. The contents of protein, lactose and SNF increased significantly (P<0.05), however, fat content decreased significantly with increasing level of concentrate and decreasing level of roughages. The contents of all milk constituents except ash increased significantly (P<0.05) with baker's yeast supplementation.

The amounts of DM, TDN and DCP per kg 7% FCM increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of concentrate and decreasing level of roughages in the rations as well as with baker's yeast supplementation. The average daily feed cost and feed cost per kg 7% FCM increased significantly (P<0.05), however, economic efficiency decreased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of concentrate and decreasing level of roughages in the rations. Average daily feed cost tended to increase, but the output of 7% FCM and economic efficiency increased significantly (P<0.05), while feed cost per kg 7% FCM decreased significantly (P<0.05) with baker's yeast supplementation. It could be concluded that lactating buffaloes fed ration consisted of 40% concentrate feed mixture and 60% roughages (berseem hay and rice straw) with 15 g baker's yeast supplementation/head/day on DM basis (G<sub>4</sub>) showed the best results concerning milk yield, feed conversion and economic efficiency.

Key words: Lactating buffaloes, concentrate/roughage ratio, baker's yeast, digestibility, rumen fermentation activity, milk yield, feed conversion, economic efficiency

**Correspondence:** E-mail: dr.gaafar@hotmail.com Gaafar, H. M. A., Senior Researcher of Animal Nutrition, Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Nadi El-Seid Club Street, Dokki, Giza, Egypt, Tel: 200473239156, Fax: 200473229507

## INTRODUCTION

The dairy industry in Egypt is buffalo oriented. Egypt has approximately 3.8 million buffaloes and their milk contributes to 70% of the total milk production in Egypt (MOA, 2003). Egyptian buffalo population was estimated to be approximately 3.6 million and contributes to about 5% of the world buffalo milk (FAO, 2004). Buffalo's milk is preferred by the Egyptian consumers for its richness and sensory attributes. Therefore, buffalo's milk gets almost double the price of cow's milk in the local market (Abdel-Aziz, 2005). Generally, there is an increasing demand for buffalo milk in Egypt. Buffaloes are superior to domesticated cattle because they digest feed more efficiently than cattle do, particularly when the feed is of poor quality and is rich in cellulose. Buffalo milk is therefore cheaper to produce; buffaloes take less time to adjust to changes in the diet composition.

Low portions of hay in the ration significantly reduced the pH-value and increased the  $\rm NH_3-N$  concentration. An increased hay portion in the ration resulted in an increased NDF degradation in the rumen as compared to concentrate-rich rations. Due to an increased flow of microbial OM after high concentrate feeding, the apparent ruminal digestibility of OM was calculated to decrease although the quantity of fermented organic matter increased. Feeding the rations poor in hay increased the flow of non ammoniacal nitrogen and utilisable crude protein significantly due to the increased microbial protein synthesis and an improved efficiency of microbial protein synthesis (Flachowsky et al., 2006).

Significant (P<0.05) increases were detected in milk protein and 4% fat corrected milk yield, but there was no significant difference in milk fat percentage with yeast culture supplementation (Konyves *et al.*, 2005). Yeast supplementation resulted in a numerical increase in ruminal pH, ammonia-N concentration, and total VFA concentration (Fadel Elseed and Abusamra, 2007). Supplementation with live yeast cells significantly increased the total milk yield but the chemical composition of milk was not influenced by the treatments, with the exception of milk fat that was significantly higher in yeast culture group (Masek *et al.*, 2008).

Yeast had significant effects on milk yield in early lactation, mid lactation and the whole lactation (P<0.001). Effect was higher in early lactation (22%). Yeast effect was similar for primiparous and multiparous cows. Moreover, yeast effect on milk yield during early lactation was higher for cows calving in the hot season (July- October). Fat and protein percentages and yields were higher with yeast supplementation and during hot season (Majdoub-Mathlouthi *et al.*, 2009).

Yeast supplementation significantly (P < 0.05) increased digestibility of dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), NDF and ADF of tomato pomace where the gross digestibility derived from the supplementation was superior for 4 g yeast compared to the control group. In addition, sheep fed yeast had a marked increase in energy digestibility of tomato pomace at 4 g level (Paryad and Rashidi, 2009).

Yeast supplementation significantly accelerated the increase in milk yield during early lactation and compared to the pre-experimental period, the cows of the live yeast (LY) group achieved significantly higher milk yield than those of the control group (Rihma *et al.*, 2009).

Yeast culture can improve feed efficiency of heat stressed dairy cows in mid lactation (Schingoethe *et al.*, 2004). Supplementation of yeast culture (YS) increased dry matter intake (DMI) during the transition period and increased DMI postpartum (Dann *et al.*, 2000). The ruminal digestion would be more easily affected by dietary YS addition when rams consumed a diet rich in forages (Galip, 2006).

The aim of the current work was to investigate the effect of concentrate to roughage ratio and baker's yeast supplementation during summer season on digestibility, rumen fermentation activity, feed intake, milk yield, feed conversion and economic efficiency of lactating buffaloes.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The current work was carried out at a commercial dairy buffalo farm, Kafr El-Sheikh Province, Egypt in the year 2007.

## Experimental animals and rations

Sixteen lactating buffaloes after 8 weeks of calving in the 2<sup>nd</sup> to the 5<sup>th</sup> lactating season, weighing 500 to 600 kg during summer season were used in complete switch–back design with four groups and three successive experimental periods. Each period consisted of 28 days, the first 14 days of each period were considered a transition period followed by 14 days of test period, as described by Lucas (1956). Lactating buffaloes were individually fed to cover the recommended requirements according to Animal Production Research Institute (1997) for lactating buffaloes. Rations were recalculated every two weeks based on milk yield and body weight of animals.

## **Experimental rations and management**

Buffaloes in the first and the second groups were fed rations consisting of 60% concentrate feed mixture and 40% roughage (berseem hay and rice straw) on DM basis without ( $G_1$ ) or with 15 g baker's yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*)/head/day ( $G_2$ ). While those in the third and the fourth groups were fed rations consisting of 40%

concentrate feed mixture and 60% roughages (berseem hay and rice straw) on DM basis without (G<sub>2</sub>) or with 15 g baker's yeast/head/day (G<sub>4</sub>).

Concentrate feed mixture was offered two times daily at 8 a.m. and at 4 p.m., berseem hay once daily at 11 a.m. and rice straw was given twice daily at 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Baker's yeast was supplemented with concentrate mixture during the morning feeding. Buffaloes were allowed to drink water thrice daily at 7 a.m. and at 1& 7 p.m. and were kept under the routine veterinary supervision throughout the whole feeding trial.

## **Digestibility trials**

Four digestibility trials were conducted during the 2<sup>nd</sup> period of feeding trial with 4 animals from each group to determine nutrient digestibility coefficients and nutritive values of the experimental rations using acid insoluble ash (AIA) as a natural marker (Van Keulen and Young, 1977). Feces samples were taken from the rectum of each animal twice daily at 12 hours interval during the collection period. Samples of tested feedstuffs were taken at the beginning, middle and end of the collection period. The samples of feedstuffs and feces were composted and representative samples were analyzed according to AOAC (1995).

## **Rumen liquor samples**

Rumen liquor samples were collected 3 hours after morning feeding from buffaloes during the 2nd period of feeding trial using a stomach tube and filtered through double layers of cheese cloth. pH value was determined directly using Orian 680 digital pH meter. The concentration of total VFA was determined in rumen liquor samples by the steam distillation method (Warner, 1964) using markham micro-distillation apparatus. The concentration of NH,-N was determined using saturated solution of magnesium oxide distillation according to the method of AOAC (1995).

#### Milk vield and samples

Individual morning and evening milk yields of lactating buffaloes were recorded daily and corrected for 7% fat content (FCM) using the formula of 7% FCM = 0.265 x milk yield (kg) + 10.5 x fat yield (kg) as stated by Raafat and Saleh (1962). Milk samples from consecutive evening and morning milking were taken at the 4<sup>th</sup> week of each period and mixed in proportion to yield. Milk fat, protein, lactose and total solids were determined using Milko-Scan (133B Foss Electric).

#### **Feed conversion**

Feed conversion was calculated as the amount of concentrate feed mixture, berseem hay, rice straw, DM, TDN (kg) and DCP (g) required to produce 1 kg 7% FCM.

#### **Economic efficiency**

Economic efficiency is expressed as the daily feed cost, price of 7% FCM, feed cost per kg 7% FCM and the ratio between daily feed cost and price of 7% FCM. The price of one ton was 1800 LE for concentrate feed mixture, 800 LE for berseem hay and 100 LE for rice straw. The price of one kg was 10 LE for baker's yeast and 3 LE for one kg 7% FCM in the year 2007.

#### Statistical analysis

The data were subjected to statistical analysis according to Lucas (1956). Significance between the means was determined by multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Chemical composition of experimental rations

Chemical composition of tested feedstuffs and experimental ration used in feeding lactating buffaloes are presented in Table (1). The results showed that the contents of DM, OM, CP, EE and NFE tended to increase with increasing level of concentrate. However, the contents of CF and ash tended to increase with increasing

Table 1: Chemical composition of tested feedstuffs and ex	perimental rations used in feedin	g lactating buffa	aloes
---	-----------------------------------	-------------------	-------

Itam	AT 07.			Compositio	n of DM %		
	/1 /0	OM	СР	CF	EE	NFE	Ash
Feedstuffs							
Concentrate feed mixture* 92	.60	91.43	16.50	13.02	3.17	58.74	8.57
Berseem hay 90	.43	89.16	12.48	27.92	2.81	45.95	10.84
Rice straw 90	.30	83.59	2.56	31.79	1.09	48.15	16.41
Calculated composition of experimental ratio	ons:						
$G_1 - G_2$ 91	68	89.20	12.52	19.93	2.61	54.14	10.80
$G_3 - G_4$ 91	.25	88.31	10.98	23.16	2.41	51.76	11.69
G.: (60% concentrate:40% roughage without baker	's yeast).	G.: (6	0% concentrat	e:40% roughag	e with baker's	yeast)	

 $\dot{s}_1$ : (60% concentrate:40% roughage without baker's yeast). G<sub>3</sub>: (40% concentrate:60% roughage without baker's yeast).

 $G_{4}$ : (40% concentrate:60% roughage with baker's yeast)

\*Concentrate feed mixture consisted of 32% undecorticated cotton seed cake, 24% wheat bran, 22% yellow corn, 12% rice bran, 5% line seed cake, 2% molasses, 2% limestone and 1% common salt

roughage level. These results could be attributed to the differences in chemical composition of tested feedstuffs.

#### Digestibility coefficients and nutritive values

The nutrients' digestibility coefficients and nutritive values of experimental rations are presented in Table (2). The digestibility coefficients of DM, OM, CP, EE and NFE and TDN and DCP values increased significantly (P<0.05). while CF digestibility decreased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of concentrate and decreasing level of roughages in the rations. Moreover, the digestibility coefficients of all nutrients and nutritive values of experimental rations increased significantly (P<0.05) with baker's yeast supplementation. These results may be due to the differences in chemical composition of experimental rations as shown in Table (1). These results agree with those obtained by Paryad and Rashidi (2009) who found that yeast supplementation significantly (P<0.05) increased digestibility of dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), NDF and ADF of tomato pomace where the gross digestibility derived from the supplementation was superior in 4 g yeast compared to the control group. In addition, sheep fed yeast had a marked increase in energy digestibility of tomato pomace at 4 g level. Yang et al. (2001) reported that reducing the ratio of forage to concentrate improved total digestion.

#### Feed intake

The effect of concentrate to roughages ratio and baker's yeast supplementation on average daily feed intake by lactating buffaloes are shown in Table (3). The intake of concentrate feed mixture (CFM), DM, TDN and DCP increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of concentrate. However, the intake of berseem (B) and rice straw (RS) increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of roughages. The intake of concentrate feed mixture, berseem, rice straw, DM and DCP tended to increase, but TDN and DCP intake increased significantly (P<0.05) with baker's yeast supplementation. Buffaloes in G<sub>2</sub> showed the highest intake of CFM, DM, TDN and DCP, but those in  $G_4$  had the highest intake of B and RS. The intake of TDN and DCP increased with increasing TDN and DCP values of experimental rations. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Mohsen et al. (2001) who observed that feed intake by Friesian calves increased with increasing level of concentrate feed mixture and decreasing level of corn silage. Llamaslamas and Comb (1991) reported that Lactating cows consumed more DM on low silage than on medium or high silage diets. Dann et al. (2000) indicated that cows supplemented with YC increased dry matter intake more rapidly than non-supplemented cows did.

Table 2: Nutrients' digestibility coefficients and nutritive values of experimental rations by lactating buffaloes

T		I	Digestibility	coefficient %	0		Nutritive	value %
Item	DM	OM	СР	CF	EE	NFE	TDN	DCP
Concentrate: Roughage r	atio							
60:40	68.20ª	70.78 <sup>a</sup>	65.73ª	65.46 <sup>b</sup>	73.84 <sup>a</sup>	70.75 <sup>a</sup>	63.92ª	8.23ª
40:60	65.39 <sup>b</sup>	67.27 <sup>b</sup>	62.81 <sup>b</sup>	68.57ª	71.19 <sup>b</sup>	67.85 <sup>b</sup>	61.77 <sup>b</sup>	6.90 <sup>b</sup>
Yeast supplementation								
Without yeast	65.86 <sup>b</sup>	67.87 <sup>b</sup>	63.35 <sup>b</sup>	65.33 <sup>b</sup>	71.01 <sup>b</sup>	68.17 <sup>b</sup>	61.70 <sup>b</sup>	7.45 <sup>b</sup>
With yeast	67.72ª	70.18 <sup>a</sup>	65.19 <sup>a</sup>	68.70ª	74.03 <sup>a</sup>	70.43 <sup>a</sup>	63.99ª	7.67ª
Interaction (Concentrate:	Roughage r	atio with Ba	ker's Yeast s	upplementat	ion)			
G,	67.19 <sup>6</sup>	69.30 <sup>b</sup>	65.10 <sup>b</sup>	63.54°	72.28 <sup>b</sup>	69.59 <sup>b</sup>	62.74 <sup>b</sup>	8.14 <sup>b</sup>
G <sub>2</sub>	69.20ª	72.25ª	66.35ª	67.38 <sup>b</sup>	75.40 <sup>a</sup>	71.90ª	65.10 <sup>a</sup>	8.31ª
$G_{2}^{2}$	64.54 <sup>d</sup>	66.44 <sup>d</sup>	61.59 <sup>d</sup>	67.12 <sup>b</sup>	69.73°	66.76°	60.65°	6.76 <sup>d</sup>
G <sub>4</sub>	66.23°	68.10 <sup>c</sup>	64.02 <sup>c</sup>	70.02 <sup>a</sup>	72.65 <sup>b</sup>	68.95 <sup>b</sup>	62.88 <sup>b</sup>	7.03°

a, b, c and d: Means in the same column for each item with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

 $G_1$ : (60% concentrate: 40% roughage without baker's yeast).  $G_2$ : (60% concentrate: 40% roughage with baker's yeast)

 $G_3$ : (40% concentrate:60% roughage without baker's yeast).  $G_4$ : (40% concentrate:60% roughage with baker's yeast)

Т	ab	le	3:	Averag	ge daily	v feed	lintak	e (kg/	head	) by	lactating	: but	fal	oes f	ed	exp	periment	al r	ation	IS
					, ,			·		/ /		,								

Item	CFM	BH	RS	DM	TDN	DCP
Concentrate: Roughage ratio						
60:40	11.03ª	3.06 <sup>b</sup>	2.52 <sup>b</sup>	17.06 <sup>a</sup>	10.91ª	1.40 <sup>a</sup>
40:60	6.82 <sup>b</sup>	4.98 <sup>a</sup>	3.47ª	15.76 <sup>b</sup>	9.73 <sup>b</sup>	1.09 <sup>b</sup>
Yeast supplementation						
Without yeast	8.85	4.00	4.96	16.29	10.05 <sup>b</sup>	1.22 <sup>b</sup>
With yeast	9.00	4.05	5.03	16.53	10.58 <sup>a</sup>	1.27 <sup>a</sup>
Interaction (Concentrate: Roughage rati	o with Bake	r's Yeast supple	mentation)			
G <sub>1</sub>	10.92 <sup>b</sup>	3.05 <sup>b</sup>	4.49°	16.92 <sup>b</sup>	10.62 <sup>b</sup>	1.38 <sup>b</sup>
$G_2^{'}$	11.14ª	3.07 <sup>b</sup>	4.54°	17.19ª	11.19ª	1.43ª
$G_{3}^{2}$	6.78°	4.94ª	5.43 <sup>b</sup>	15.65 <sup>d</sup>	9.49 <sup>d</sup>	1.06 <sup>d</sup>
	6.86°	5.02ª	5.51ª	15.87°	9.98°	1.12°

a, b, c and d: Means in the same column for each item with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

G<sub>1</sub>: (60% concentrate:40% roughage without baker's yeast).

tt).  $G_2$ : (60% concentrate:40% roughage with baker's yeast). tt).  $G_4$ : (40% concentrate:60% roughage with baker's yeast)

G<sub>3</sub>: (40% concentrate:60% roughage without baker's yeast).

### **Rumen fermentation activity**

Results in Table (4) showed that ruminal pH value decreased significantly (P<0.05), while ruminal TVFAs and NH,-N concentrations increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of concentrate. Moreover, ruminal pH value and NH<sub>2</sub>-N concentration decreased significantly (P<0.05), while ruminal TVFAs concentration increased significantly (P<0.05) with baker's yeast supplementation compared to without yeast supplementation. The concentration of TVFAs increased with increasing NFE content. The concentration of NH,-N increased with increasing CP content. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Mohsen et al. (2001) who stated that the concentration of TVFAs and NH<sub>3</sub>-N in rumen liquor of Friesian calves increased, while pH value decreased with increasing level of concentrate feed mixture and decreasing level of corn silage in the rations. Vlaeminck et al. (2006) reported that total fatty acid concentration increased with dietary concentrate. Flachowsky et al. (2006) stated that low portions of hay in the ration significantly reduced the pH value and increased the NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration.

## Milk vield

The yield of actual milk increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of concentrate as well as with baker's yeast supplementation, while the yield of 7% FCM increased significantly (P<0.05) with baker's yeast supplementation (Table 5). Moreover, the interaction between the concentrate to roughages ratio and baker's yeast supplementation showed that the yield of actual milk and 7% FCM for buffaloes fed the high level of roughage with baker's yeast supplementation (G<sub>1</sub>) was nearly similar to those fed the high level of concentrate without baker's yeast supplementation (G<sub>1</sub>). Yeast supplementation significantly accelerated the increase in milk yield during early lactation and compared to the pre-experimental period, the cows of the live yeast group achieved significantly higher milk yield than those of the control group (Rihma et al., 2009). Yeast had significant effects on milk vield in early lactation, mid lactation and the whole lactation (P<0.001). Effect was higher in early lactation (22%). Yeast effect was similar for primiparous and multiparous cows.

Moreover, yeast effect on milk yield during early

Table 4:	Rumen	fermentation	activity	of lactating	buffaloes	fed ex	perimental	rations
				· · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Item	pН	TVFAs (meq/ 100 ml)	NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/ 100 ml)
Concentrate: Roughage ratio			
60:40	5.70 <sup>b</sup>	16.07ª	22.25ª
40:60	5.89ª	15.40 <sup>b</sup>	18.56 <sup>b</sup>
Yeast supplementation			
Without yeast	5.83ª	14.35 <sup>b</sup>	21.50ª
With yeast	5.76 <sup>b</sup>	17.13ª	19.31 <sup>b</sup>
Interaction (Concentrate: Roughage ratio with	Baker's Yeast supp	plementation)	
G <sub>1</sub>	5.73°	14.80°	23.33ª
G,	5.67 <sup>d</sup>	17.33ª	21.16 <sup>b</sup>
$G_{2}$	5.93ª	13.87 <sup>d</sup>	19.67°
	5.85 <sup>b</sup>	16.93 <sup>b</sup>	17.45 <sup>d</sup>

a, b, c and d: Means in the same column for each item with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

G<sub>1</sub>: (60% concentrate:40% roughage without baker's yeast). G<sub>2</sub>: (60% concentrate:40% roughage with baker's yeast).

G<sub>3</sub>: (40% concentrate:60% roughage without baker's yeast).  $G_4$ : (40% concentrate:60% roughage with baker's yeast)

Table 5:	Milk yiel	d and com	position of	of lactating	<b>buffaloes</b>	fed ex	perimental	rations
					•			

Itom	Milk y	ield (kg)			Milk com	oosition %		
	Actual	7% FCM	Fat	Protein	Lactose	SNF	TS	Ash
Concentrate: Rougha	ige ratio							
60:40	10.63ª	9.99	6.42 <sup>b</sup>	4.39ª	5.33ª	10.44 <sup>a</sup>	16.86	0.72
40:60	9.93 <sup>b</sup>	9.82	6.88ª	3.98 <sup>b</sup>	4.93 <sup>b</sup>	9.60 <sup>b</sup>	16.48	0.70
Yeast supplementation	on							
Without yeast	9.84 <sup>b</sup>	9.32 <sup>b</sup>	6.50 <sup>b</sup>	4.05 <sup>b</sup>	5.02 <sup>b</sup>	9.77 <sup>b</sup>	16.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.70
With yeast	10.72 <sup>a</sup>	10.49 <sup>a</sup>	6.80ª	4.32ª	5.24ª	10.27ª	17.07ª	0.71
Interaction (Concent	rate: Rougha	age ratio with E	Baker's Yeast	supplementat	tion)			
G <sub>1</sub>	10.18 <sup>b</sup>	9.41 <sup>b</sup>	6.28 <sup>d</sup>	4.26 <sup>b</sup>	5.24 <sup>b</sup>	10.21 <sup>b</sup>	16.49 <sup>b</sup>	0.71
G <sub>2</sub>	11.07ª	10.56ª	6.56°	4.52ª	5.42ª	10.66ª	17.22ª	0.72
G,	9.50°	9.22 <sup>b</sup>	6.72 <sup>b</sup>	3.84 <sup>d</sup>	4.79 <sup>d</sup>	9.32 <sup>d</sup>	16.04°	0.69
G <sub>4</sub>	10.37 <sup>b</sup>	10.41ª	7.04ª	4.13°	5.06°	9.89°	16.93ª	0.70

a, b, c and d: Means in the same column for each item with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

G2: (60% concentrate:40% roughage with baker's yeast) G1: (60% concentrate:40% roughage without baker's yeast). G<sub>3</sub>: (40% concentrate:60% roughage without baker's yeast).

G<sub>4</sub>: (40% concentrate:60% roughage with baker's yeast)

lactation was higher for cows calving in the hot season (July- October). Fat and protein percentages and yields were higher with yeast supplementation and during hot season (Majdoub-Mathlouthi et al., 2009).

## Milk composition

As shown in Table (5), the contents of protein, lactose and SNF increased significantly (P<0.05), however, fat content decreased significantly with increasing level of concentrate and decreasing level of roughages. The contents of fat, protein, lactose, SNF and TS also increased significantly (P<0.05) with baker's yeast supplementation. Buffaloes in G<sub>4</sub> showed the highest fat content, but those in G<sub>2</sub> had the highest contents of protein, lactose, SNF and TS. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Schingoethe et al. (2004) who reported that cows of both parities supplemented with yeast culture had numerically higher milk components. Milk fat was found to be significantly higher in yeast culture group (Masek et al., 2008). Fat and protein percentages and yields were higher with yeast supplementation and during hot season (Majdoub-Mathlouthi et al., 2009).

#### **Feed conversion**

Feed conversion expressed as the amounts of DM, TDN and DCP per kg 7% FCM are shown in Table (6). The amounts of CFM, DM, TDN and DCP per kg 7% FCM increased significantly (P<0.05), while BH and RS decreased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of concentrate and decreasing level of roughages in the rations. The amount of CFM, BH, RS, DM, TDN and DCP per kg 7% FCM decreased significantly (P<0.05) with baker's yeast supplementation. Buffaloes fed ration contained 40% concentrate and 60% roughages with baker's yeast supplementation  $(G_{4})$  showed better CFM, DM, TDN and DCP conversion, while those fed ration containing 60% concentrate and 40% roughages with baker's yeast supplementation  $(G_2)$  showed better BH and RS conversion. Yeast culture can improve feed efficiency of heat stressed dairy cows in mid lactation (Schingoethe et al., 2004).

## **Economic efficiency**

Economic efficiency of lactating buffaloes as affected by concentrate to roughages ratio and baker's yeast supplementation are presented in Table (7). The

Table 6:	Feed	conversion	per kg	7% FCM	of lactating	g buffaloes i	fed ex	perimental	rations
						2			

Item	CFM (kg)	BH (kg)	RS (kg)	DM (kg)	TDN (kg)	DCP (g)
Concentrate: Roughage rat	io					
60:40	1.11 <sup>a</sup>	0.31 <sup>b</sup>	0.45 <sup>b</sup>	1.71ª	1.09 <sup>a</sup>	140.89ª
40:60	0.70 <sup>b</sup>	0.51ª	0.56ª	1.61 <sup>b</sup>	0.99 <sup>b</sup>	110.97 <sup>b</sup>
Yeast supplementation						
Without yeast	0.95ª	0.43ª	0.53ª	1.75ª	1.08 <sup>a</sup>	130.64 <sup>a</sup>
With yeast	0.86 <sup>b</sup>	0.39 <sup>b</sup>	0.48 <sup>b</sup>	1.58 <sup>b</sup>	1.01 <sup>b</sup>	121.22 <sup>b</sup>
Interaction (Concentrate: R	oughage ratio	with Baker's Y	east suppleme	ntation)		
G,	1.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.32°	0.48 <sup>b</sup>	1.80ª	1.13 <sup>a</sup>	146.46 <sup>a</sup>
$G_2^{'}$	1.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.29 <sup>d</sup>	0.43 <sup>b</sup>	1.63°	1.06 <sup>b</sup>	135.32 <sup>b</sup>
$G_2^2$	0.74°	0.54 <sup>a</sup>	0.59ª	1.70 <sup>b</sup>	1.03 <sup>b</sup>	114.82°
G <sub>4</sub>	0.66°	0.48 <sup>b</sup>	0.53ª	1.52 <sup>d</sup>	0.96°	107.12 <sup>d</sup>

a, b, c and d: Means in the same column for each item with different superscripts differ significantly (P < 0.05)

G1: (60% concentrate:40% roughage without baker's yeast) G<sub>3</sub>: (40% concentrate:60% roughage without baker's yeast) G<sub>2</sub>: (60% concentrate:40% roughage with baker's yeast)

 $G_{4}^{-}$ : (40% concentrate:60% roughage with baker's yeast)

# Table 7: Economic efficiency of lactating buffaloes fed experimental rations

Item	Average feed cost (LE/day)	feed cost LE/ kg 7% FCM	Output of 7% FCM	Economic efficiency
Concentrate: Roughage ratio	(,, ))			
60:40	22.83ª	2.29ª	29.96	1.31 <sup>b</sup>
40:60	16.88 <sup>b</sup>	1.73 <sup>b</sup>	29.45	1.74ª
Yeast supplementation				
Without yeast	19.62	2.10ª	27.95 <sup>b</sup>	1.45 <sup>b</sup>
With yeast	20.09	1.91 <sup>b</sup>	31.46ª	1.60ª
Interaction (Concentrate: Rougha	ge ratio with Baker's Y	(east supplementation)		
G <sub>1</sub>	22.55ª	2.40ª	28.23 <sup>b</sup>	1.25 <sup>d</sup>
G <sub>2</sub>	23.11ª	2.19 <sup>b</sup>	31.68ª	1.37°
$G_3$	16.70 <sup>b</sup>	1.81°	27.66 <sup>b</sup>	1.66 <sup>b</sup>
G <sub>4</sub>	17.07 <sup>b</sup>	1.64 <sup>d</sup>	31.24ª	1.83ª
a, b, c and d: Means in the same column	n for each item with differ	rent superscripts differ signi	ficantly (P<0.05)	

G.: (60% concentrate:40% roughage without baker's yeast) G<sub>3</sub>: (40% concentrate:60% roughage without baker's yeast) G<sub>2</sub>: (60% concentrate:40% roughage with baker's yeast)

 $G_{4}$ : (40% concentrate:60% roughage with baker's yeast)

average daily feed cost and feed cost per kg 7% FCM increased significantly (P<0.05), however, economic efficiency decreased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing level of concentrate and decreasing level of roughages in the rations. Average daily feed cost tended to increase, but the output of 7% FCM and economic efficiency increased significantly (P<0.05), while feed cost per kg 7% FCM decreased significantly (P<0.05) with baker's yeast supplementation. Buffaloes fed ration containing 40% concentrate and 60% roughages with baker's yeast supplementation  $(G_4)$  recorded the lowest feed cost and feed cost per kg 7% FCM and the highest economic efficiency (P<0.0). These results are illustrated with those obtained by Mehrez et al. (1993) who found that feed cost of buffalo calves increased with increasing level of concentrate in the rations. El-Ashry et al. (2001) found that return over feed and additive as well as relative economic efficiency was higher with yeast supplementation in rations of buffalo calves.

# CONCLUSION

From these results it could be concluded that lactating buffaloes fed ration consisting of 40% concentrate feed mixture and 60% roughages on DM basis (berseem hay and rice straw) with 15 g baker's yeast supplementation/head/day ( $G_4$ ) showed the best results concerning milk yield, feed conversion and economic efficiency.

# REFERENCES

- ABDEL-AZIZ, A. 2005. Genetic improvement of milk yield in Egypt: A buffalo prospective. Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> Conf. Anim. Prod. Res., Sakha, 2005, 27-29 Sept., p. 25-32.
- Animal Production Research Institute 1997. Animal Nutrition Scientifically and Practically. 1<sup>st</sup> Ed. Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Dokki, Giza, Egypt (In Arabic).
- AOAC 1995. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis, 16<sup>th</sup> Ed., Washington, DC.
- DANN, H. M. DRACKLEY, J. K. MCCOY, G. C. HUTJENS, M. F. – GARRETT, J. E. 2000. Effects of yeast culture (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on prepartum intake and postpartum intake and milk production of Jersey cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, vol. 83, 2000, p. 123-127.
- Dann, H. M. Drackley; J. K. McCoy; G. C. Hutjens, M. F. Garrett, J. E. 2000. Effects of Yeast Culture (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on Prepartum Intake and Postpartum Intake and Milk Production of Jersey Cows. J. Dairy Sci., vol. 83, 2000, p. 123-127.
- DUNCAN, D. B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F-test. *Biometrics*, vol. 11, 1955, p. 1-42.
- EL-ASHRY, M. A. MOTAGALLY, ZEBA A. MAARECK, Y. A. 2001. Effect of live dried baker's yeast and yeast culture on performance of growing buffalo calves. *Egyption J. Nutrition and Feeds*, 4, 2001, p. 607-617.

- FADEL ELSEED, A. M. A. ABUSAMRA, RANIA M. A. 2007. Effects of Supplemental Yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) Culture on NDF Digestibility and Rumen Fermentation of Forage Sorghum Hay in Nubian Goat's Kids. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 3, 2007, p. 133-137.
- FAO 2004. Food Agriculture Organization, Roma, Italy.
- FLACHOWSKY, G. ERDMANN, K. LEBZIEN, P. – HÜTHER, L. 2006. Investigations on the influence of roughage/concentrate ratio and linseed oil supplementation on rumen fermentation and microbial protein yield in dairy cows. *Slovak J. Anim. Sci.*, vol. 39, no. 1, 2006, p. 3-9.
- GALIP, N. 2006. Effects of dietary Saccharomyces cerevisiae live yeast culture supplementation on ruminal digestion and protozoa count in rams fed with diets with low or high ratio forage/concentrate. *Revue Méd. Vét.*, 157, 2006, p. 609-613.
- KONYVES, L. BRYDL, E. JURKOVICH, V. TEGZES, L. – TIRIÁN, A. – KUTASI, J. 2005. Effect of different saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast cultures on ruminal fermentation, metabolic status and milk production in dairy cows. ISAH 2005 - Warsaw, Poland, 1, 2005, p. 163-166.
- LLAMAS-LAMAS, G. COMB, D. K. 1991. Effect of forage to concentrate ratio and intake level on utilization of early vegetative alfalfa silage by dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, vol. 74, 2001, p. 526-536..
- LUCAS, H. L. 1956. Switch back trials for more than two treatments. J. Dairy Sci., vol. 39, 1956, p. 146-154.
- MAJDOUB-MATHLOUTHI, L. KRAIEM, K. LARBIER, M. 2009. Effects of feeding Saccharomyces cerevisiae Sc 47 to dairy cows on milk yield and milk components, in Tunisian conditions. Livestock Research for Rural Development, vol. 21, 2009, p. 271-284.
- MASEK, T. MIKULEC, Z. VALPOTIC, H. KUSCE, L. – MIKULEC, N. – ANTUNAC, N. 2008. The influence of live yeast cells (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on the performance of grazing dairy sheep in late lactation. *Vet. Arhiv.*, 78, 2008, p. 95-104.
- MEHREZ, A. Z. EL-AYOUTY, S. A. IBRAHIM, Z. M. K. – YOUNIS, A. A. 1993. Effect of feeding level on meat production from buffalo calves. 2- Growth performance, feed utilization and carcass quality. Prospects of buffalo production in the Mediterranean and the Middle East, European Association of Animal Production, 62, 1993, p. 137-140.
- MOA 2003. Ministry of Agriculture, Dokki, Egypt.
- MOHSEN, M. K. MAHMOUD, S. A. ABEL-RAOUF, E. M. – BENDARY, M. M. – GAAFAR, H. M. A. 2003. Performance of growing Friesian calves fed rations containing corn silage. 1- Nutrient digestibility, rumen activity, live body weight gain and economic evaluation. *Egyptian J. Nutr, and Feeds*, 4, 2001, p. 485-497.
- PARYAD, A. RASHIDI, M. 2009. Effect of Yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) on Apparent Digestibility and Nitrogen Retention of Tomato Pomace in Sheep. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 8, 2009 p. 273-278.
- RAAFAT, M. A. SALEH, M. E. 1962. Efficiency of feed utilization with buffaloes and dairy cattle. Proceedings of the Sec. Anim. Prod. Conf. (March 3-10), Cairo, Egypt, 1962, p 25-37.
- RIHMA, E. KÄRT, O. MIHHEJEV, K. HENNO, M. -

JŐUDU, I. – KAART, T. 2009. Effect of dietary live yeast on milk yield, composition and coagulation properties in early lactation of Estonian Holstein cows. Agraarteadus: *Journal* of agricultural science : Akadeemilise Pőllumajanduse Seltsi väljaanne, XVIII, 2009, p. 37-41.

- SCHINGOETHE, D. J. LINKE, K. N. KALSCHEUR, K. F. - HIPPEN, A. R. - RENNICH, D. R. – YOON, I. 2004. Feed efficiency of mid-lactation dairy cows fed yeast culture during summer. J. Dairy Sci., 87, 2004, p. 4178–4181.
- VAN KEULEN, J. YOUNG, P. A. 1977. Evaluation of acid insoluble ash as a natural marker in ruminant digestibility studies. J. Anim. Sci., vol. 44, 1977, p. 282-287.
- VLAEMINCK, B. FIEVEZ, V. DEMEYER, D. DEWHURST, R. J. 2006. Effect of forage:concentrate ratio on fatty acid composition of rumen bacteria isolated from ruminal and duodenal digesta. *J. Dairy Sci.*, vol. 89, 2006, p. 2668-2678.
- WARNER, A. C. I. 1964. Production of volatile fatty acids in the rumen, method of measurements. *Nut. Abest. and Rev.*, vol. 34, 1964, p. 339-352.
- YANG, W. Z. BEAUCHEMIN, K. A. RODE, L. M. 2001. Effects of grain processing, forage to concentrate ratio, and forage particle size on rumen ph and digestion by dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., vol. 84, 2001, p. 2203-2216.