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ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to investigate the efficiency of GnRH on reproductive performance of synchronized Iranian crossbred ewes during the breeding season. The ewes (n = 90) were treated with CIDR containing 30 mg progesterone for 14 days and were injected with 400 IU PMSG at the time of removal of the CIDR. The ewes were then divided into three equal groups of 30 ewes each. One milliliter of water soluble was administered to each ewe in the Group 1 (control) at the time of both the CIDR withdrawal and AI. In the group 2 approximately 12.5 µg of GnRH (CinnaRelin) were injected to each ewe immediatly after AI. In the 3 Group, 12.5 µg GnRH were injected to each ewe on 11 to 13 days post-insemination. Intracervical AI with diluted fresh semen was performed once at 12 hours following the estrus onset. Lambing and multiple birth rates were significantly higher (P<0.05) in the Group 2 (123.3 % and 45.8 %) in comparison to other groups. Pregnancy and fecundity rates tended to be higher in the Group 2 (80 % and 154.2 %) and the Group 3 (76.6 % and 130.4 %), when compared with the control Group (66.6 % and 110 %). However, the differences among any groups in terms of the number of twin lambs, gestation period, lambs birth weight and male and female lamb rate were statistically insignificant (P>0.05). In conclusion, GnRH administration improved the reproductive performance of the ewes when administrated at the time of AI or during the midluteal phase after AI.

Key words: Iranian crossbred ewes; lambing rate; GnRH; synchronization

INTRODUCTION

The Arkhar-Merino is a sheep breed which was produced by crossbreeding between wild Arkhar rams with ewes of the Novocaucasian Merino, Précocoe and Rambouillet breeds (Ernst and Dmitriev, 2007). The goal of the crossbred sheep production in the northwest Iran was genetic improvement of local wool trait breeds. The most economically important trait in sheep production is reproduction and it can be manipulated using hormonal treatments (Atsan et al., 2007). Hormonal treatment to control ovulation and reproduction is a prerequisite for successful breeding and increasing the number of pregnant females (Husein et al., 2005), resulting in a short breeding period and more uniform newborn crop (Husein and Kridli, 2003). Gonadotrophin releasing hormone (GnRH) plays a key role in the reproductive function and has a potential for fertility control in mammals (Diskin et al., 2002). In many studies where GnRH was used, it was aimed to increase the progesterone levels (P4) in serum, to decrease the early embryonic deaths and as a result to increase the pregnancy rates. GnRH injection causes a predictable release of luteinizing hormone (LH) and a significant increase in serum P4 (Stevenson et al., 1993). In addition, it is reported that GnRH injection on 11 to 14 days (during the mid luteal phase) after artificial insemination (AI) increased serum concentrations of P4 and caused a tendency toward higher pregnancy rates in cattle (Hansen, 2002). It is thought that GnRH treatment may increase the chances of embryo survival by improving luteal function and/or interfering with the luteolytic mechanism (Beck et al., 1994; Cam et al.,...
The application of GnRH reduced the variation in the timing of the LH surge for goats, improving the synchrony of ovulation (Pierson et al., 2003). The GnRH administration at the time of oestrus increased serum concentrations of P4 and improved pregnancy rates in cows (Ullah et al., 1996). Because of decreasing Iranian crossbred ewe population in recent years (Jafari, 2008), the strategies which can improve population of this animal can be profitable for farmers and conserving this animal. This study is the first to report the GnRH treatment on reproductive indices of Arkhar-Merino ewes reared in the northwest Iran. Hence, this study was designed to determine the effects of single administration of GnRH treatment at the time of AI or during the mid luteal phase after AI on their pregnancy rate and lambing performance in Iranian crossbred ewes synchronized with CIDR+PMSG technique during the breeding season.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Hormonal preparations

Controlled internal drug release with 30 mg of progesterone, a progestagen analogue (InterAg, Hamilton, New-Zealand), PMSG (folligon; Intervet International B.V., Boxmeer, the Netherlands) and GnRH (each ml of GnRH contains 5µg, CinnaRelin; CinnaGen Biopharma Co, Tehran, Iran) were purchased from Intervet Drug Industry (Tehran, Iran).

Animals and location

In the present study, a total of 120 clinically healthy, free of reproductive disorders and once lambed adult Iranian crossbred ewes (forty-five Arkhar-Merino×Ghezel (AM-GH); forty-five Arkhar-Merino×Moghani (AM-MG), 2-5 years old, weighing 40-50 kg), and six healthy adult crossbred rams (3-4 years old, weighing 65-75 kg) were used. The study was carried out in breeding season (June/September, 2011) at the agricultural research station, University of Tabriz, East Azerbaijan province at 38°07´N, 46º29´E and altitude of 1567 m. The ewes were kept indoors at night and outdoors most of the day. Indoors, the ewes were fed a concentrated diet based on cottonseed meal, barley and wheat bran having 2450 kcal ME and 14 % crude protein through the experimental period. Water and a mineral supplement was available ad libitum.

Treatment schedule

The ewes (n = 90) were treated with CIDR containing 30 mg progesterone for 14 days and were injected with 400 IU PMSG at the time of the CIDR removal. The ewes were then divided into three equal groups of 30 ewes each. One milliliter of water soluble was administered to each ewe in the Group 1 (control) at time of both the CIDR withdrawal and AI. Approximately 12.5 µg of GnRH (CinnaRelin) was injected to each ewe in the Group 2 immediately after AI. In the Group 3, 12.5 µg of GnRH was injected to each ewe on 11 to 13 days post-insemination. In order to determine the time of the estrus onset, all the ewes were monitored every 6 hours from 12 to 96 hours following CIDR withdrawal using six teaser rams equipped with an apron.

Semen collection, processing and insemination of the ewes

Semen was collected from all the rams with aid of an artificial vagina, the ejaculates were held in a warm water bath at 37°C until their assessment. Each ejaculate was immediately evaluated to determine motility of the semen. Sperm motility was identified as the percentage of sperm cells that demonstrated progressive motility, from 0 to 100 %, by a qualified and experienced investigator. Semen was placed on a heated (37°C) glass slide and scoring was performed at microscopic magnification of 200×. The mean of the two successive estimates was used as the final motility score. Spermatozoa concentration was determined by a hemocytometric method using the standard haemocytometer (Improved Neubauer, Deep 1/10 mm, Labart, Germany) slide and dilution pipette designed for counting red blood cells (Gur et al., 2005). All the ejaculates having forward progressive motility more than 70 %, were then pooled and diluted at the 1 : 1 ratio (semen : diluents) at 37°C with the skim milk extender. Hence, 1000 IU sodium G penicillin and 1000 µg dihydrostreptomycine sulphate was added to 1 ml of the diluted semen. The diluted semen was kept at 37°C in a water bath until insemination. Each ewe was intracervically inseminated at 12 h following the estrus onset using specific insemination catheter containing 0.5 ml of the diluted semen (approximately 2×10⁸ spermatozoa/ml).

Pregnancy diagnosis

Pregnancy was diagnosed by transabdominal ultrasound examination at day 57 after AI. The ultrasound equipment used was a real-time scanner (Shimasonic, Model SDL-32C; Shimadzu Deutschland GmbH, Duisburg Germany). The probe was lubricated with ultrasonic gel (Ultrasound Gel, Virbac Australia Pty Ltd, Peakhurst, NSW) and placed in contact with the abdomen in order to visualize the foetus on the screen. The number of ewes carrying at least one live foetus was recorded (Reyna et al., 2007).

Statistical analysis

Values concerning gestation period and lamb birth weight were compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Tukey-HSD test (SAS, 2008). The chi-squared test was performed to determine the differences among all groups concerning the other
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The values concerning reproductive parameters, gestation period and lambs birth weight are presented in Table 1. Lambs and multiple birth rates were significantly higher \((P<0.05)\) in Group 2 (123.3 % and 45.8 %) in comparison to Group 3 (100 % and 26 %) and Group 1 (73.33 % and 10 %, control Group). When the number of single lambs was statistically compared only a significant difference \((P<0.05)\) between the Groups 1 (90 %) and 2 (13 %) was recorded. Pregnancy and fecundity rates tended to be higher in the Group 2 (80 % and 154.2 %) and the Group 3 (76.6 % and 130.4 %), when compared with the Group 1 (66.6 % and 110 %). However, the differences among any of the groups in terms of the number of twin lambs, gestation period, lamb birth weight and male and female lamb rate were statistically insignificant \((P>0.05)\).

Little is known regarding the reproductive indices of Arkhar-Merino rams and ewes under normal environmental conditions in Iran. In the present study in attempt to reduce embryonic morality and hence to improve reproductive performance of the Iranian crossbred ewes, a single administration of GnRH (12.5 µg) treatment was applied during the breeding season. The results obtained in this study showed that administration of GnRH at the time of AI and on 11 to 13 days post-insemination (during the mid luteal phase) improves lambing and twinning rates in synchronized ewes. These results are in agreement with the previous

| Table 1: Reproductive performance of ewes after different treatment protocols (Group 1: progestagen + PMSG + post-insemination NaCl; Group 2: progestagen + PMSG + insemination GnRH; Group 3: progestagen + PMSG + post (11 to 13 days) – insemination GnRH) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variable                    | 1               | 2               | 3               |
| Number of ewes              | 30              | 30              | 30              |
| Pregnancy rate (%)          | 66.6 \(^{b} \)  | 80              | 76.6 \(^{b} \)  |
| Lambing rate (%)            | 73.33\(^{b}\)   | 123.3\(^{b}\)   | 100\(^{b}\)     |
| Fecundity rate (%)          | 110             | 154.2           | 130.4           |
| Multiple birth rates (%)    | 10 \(^{b}(2/20)\) | 45.8 \(^{b}(11/24)\) | 26 \(^{b}(6/23)\) |
| Number of lambs             |                 |                 |                 |
| Single                      | 18 \(^{b}(90\%)\) | 13 \(^{b}(54.2\%)\) | 17 \(^{b}(73.9\%)\) |
| Twin                        | 2 \(^{b}(10\%)\)  | 9 \(^{b}(37.5\%)\)  | 5 \(^{b}(21.7\%)\)  |
| Triplet                     |                 | 2 \(^{b}(8.3\%)\)  | 1 \(^{b}(4.4\%)\)  |
| Gestation period (days)     | 149-157         | 148-155         | 149-153         |
| Lambs birth weight (kg)     | 5.1 \(^{b} \pm 0.4\) | 4.3 \(^{b} \pm 0.4\) | 4.6 \(^{b} \pm 0.4\) |
| Female lamb rate (%)        | 45.4 \(^{b}(10/22)\) | 51.4 \(^{b}(19/37)\) | 50 \(^{b}(15/30)\) |
| Male lamb rate (%)          | 54.6 \(^{b}(12/22)\) | 48.6 \(^{b}(18/37)\) | 50 \(^{b}(15/30)\) |

Data are expressed as mean \(\pm\) S.M.E.

\(^{a,b}\) values within a rows having different superscripts differ significantly \((P<0.05)\)
reports in ewes, where GnRH administration improved reproductive performance (Safranski et al., 1992; Turk et al., 2008). However, some studies have reported that GnRH administration had no effect on reproductive performance in dairy cows (Ryan et al., 1994; Tefera et al., 2001). Differences in the effectiveness of GnRH therapy on reproductive performance reported by others could be related to the genetics, age and different GnRH analogues or their doses.

In the present study a significant effect of exogenous GnRH treatment on number of single lambs of ewes was observed in the Groups 1 and 2 (P<0.05). GnRH, used alone, induced a closely synchronized LH surge 2 hours after intramuscular injection during the breeding (Rubianes et al., 1997a). The beneficial effect of GnRH supplementation at time of insemination increases conceptus growth (Kleemann et al., 1994; Lashari and Tasawar, 2007). This would have helped to improve embryo survival as larger conceptuses produce more IFN-tau, thereby more effectively suppressing the luteolytic mechanism and allowing more time for the establishment of pregnancy (Nephew et al., 1994). Reyna et al. (2007) reported that GnRH application had a positive effect in synchronizing the time of ovulation but had no effect on the growth or atresia of the ovulatory or subordinate follicles.

Administration of GnRH resulted in a rapid increase in plasma LH concentration and also in an increase in plasma progesterone concentrations in sheep (Beck et al., 1994; Cam et al., 2002). A functional corpus luteum (CL) is required for the maintenance of pregnancy (Howell et al., 1994). The number of accessory CLs is increased with the administration of GnRH (Beck et al., 1994; Cam et al., 2002). Although plasma LH and P4 concentration and the number of accessory CLs was not determined in the present study, the effect of GnRH on embryo survival in ewes may occur through GnRH-stimulated LH surge stimulating production of progesterone by the CL and/or causing ovulation and the formation of accessory CLs. GnRH also promotes the formation of an accessory CL when injected at dioestrus (Stevenson et al., 1996). Injection of GnRH may have stimulated the transformation of small cells to large cells which had a higher basal secretion rate of P4 (Stevenson et al., 1993; De Rensis and Peters, 1999). The results of the present study showed that GnRH administration on the day of insemination improved rate and number of lambs born. This is in agreement with the previous findings of Peters et al. (1992); Cam et al. (2002); Khan et al. (2007) and Lashari and Tasawar (2007; 2010), who observed positive effect of GnRH administration on the day of mating on embryo survival in sheep and dairy cows. GnRH treatment on the day of insemination can increase pregnancy rate in cows by 6 to 7 % (Peters et al., 1992).

During the first 3 weeks of pregnancy, 30-40 % of fertilized eggs are lost in sheep and goats (Nancarrow, 1994; Michels et al., 1998). Of this total loss, 70-80 % occurs between days 8 and 16 after insemination (Sreenan et al., 1996), the majority of this is the result of embryo mortality (Beck et al., 1996). Fertilization failure accounts for only 5-10 % of losses (Wilmut et al., 1986). One of the major causes of embryonic loss is thought to be the inadequate luteal function (Nancarrow, 1994). Results of the present study showed that the treatment of ewes with CinnaRelin (a GnRH analogue) once during the mid luteal phase after AI (on days 11 to 13 days post-insemination) improved pregnancy rates of Iranian crossbred ewes during the breeding season. This is in agreement with the findings of Batavani and Eliasi (2004) and Macmillan and Thatcher (1991) that GnRH administration results in an increase in pregnancy rates in river buffaloes and dairy cattle, respectively, whereas others reported no beneficial effect in cattle (Jubb et al., 1990; Jayakumar and Vahida, 2000). Alacem et al. (1999) studied the effect of gonadorelin (a GnRH analogue) administered on day 12 post-mating in a small number of Angora goats and reported that GnRH administration increased pregnancy rate, kidding rate and litter size. The results of the present study are in agreement with these findings. When GnRH analogue was given on the day 12 after AI in cows, serum progesterone concentration was not affected, while serum LH levels increased significantly compared to controls (Yildiz et al., 2009). The response to GnRH depends on the phase of the cycle at which the hormone is administered (Geary et al., 2000).

There was no significant difference in lamb birth weight and gestation period between the GnRH treated and the control groups in the present study. This result is in concert with the trial of Turk et al. (2008) in Awassi ewes during the breeding season. It has been reported that progesterone supplementation increases subsequent fetal growth (Kleemann et al., 1994). Therefore, it is possible that the GnRH administration may also stimulate subsequent fetal growth through enhanced luteal activity. Additionally, no statistically significant differences were observed among any groups in terms of the male and the female lamb rate, which agreed with the result obtained by Turk et al. (2008) in Awassi ewes.

The results of the present study demonstrated that administration of exogenous GnRH (12.5 μg) significantly affected reproductive performance of ewes when administered at the time of AI or during the mid luteal phase after AI. Increased pregnancy rate and litter size in GnRH administered ewes are thought to be the results of GnRH effect on increasing embryo survival through enhanced luteal function. Additionally, this technique (CIDR+PMCG) is an effective tool for estrus synchronization in Iranian crossbred ewes at the northwest Iran during the breeding season.
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