EFFECT OF GENOTYPE ON PRODUCTION TRAITS IN BROILER CHICKENS
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ABSTRACT

The study was carried out on two broiler chicken genotypes Ross 308 and Cobb 500 in the nucleus poultry farm of the Institute of Agriculture – Stara Zagora between April and June 2012. For this purpose, 300 eggs of each genotype were set for incubation to compare the meat traits of two of the most popular broiler chicken hybrids: Cobb 500 and Ross 308 reared at the nucleus poultry farm of the Institute of Agriculture – Stara Zagora. The fertility rate, embryonic death rate, weight loss between incubation days 0 and 18, and the hatchability of set and fertilized eggs were determined. The number of chickens included in the study was 100 from each genotype (50 male and 50 female). Experimental birds were reared on wooden shavings bedded floor, with constant access to compound feed according to the age until 49 days of age. The live body weight was determined individually by weighing birds at 1, 14, 28, 42 and 49 days of age. By the end of the experiment, a slaughter analysis of three female and three male broilers with a live weight close to the group average was performed. For integral assessment of broiler combinations, the European Poultry efficiency factor (EPEF) was calculated. The results of the present experiments showed a number of differences in meat and slaughter traits between studied broiler chicken hybrids. The weight of hatchlings differed significantly according to the genotype (p<0.05). One-day-old Cobb 500 broilers were heavier than Ross 308 broilers. At the end of the experiment, Cobb 500 broilers attained a higher live weight, and were heavier than Ross 308 birds by 6.29 %. The feed intake per kg weight gain over the entire experimental period was 2.178 kg and 2.181 kg for Ross 308 and Cobb 500, respectively. Higher values of the European Poultry Efficiency Factor (EPEF) were established in Cobb 500 broilers, which were more economically efficient than Ross 308 by 14.87 points (6.18 %). The performed slaughter analysis showed higher values of slaughter traits in Cobb 500, which had higher growth potential: roasting weight 1810.67 g and grilling weight 1710.50 g; whereas the respective values in Ross 308 chickens were 1547.67 g for grilling and 1645 g for roasting. In male Cobb 500 broiler chickens, the roasting percentage was 74.02 %, which was 1.41 % more than that of Ross 308 males. The same trend was observed in female birds as well, i.e.
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INTRODUCTION

The modern broiler chicken production is an extensive and rapidly developing sector, supplying the market with relatively cheap and high-quality dietetic food. Due to contemporary selection programmes, a considerable improvement of weight gain, feed conversion, slaughter yield and breast meat yields were achieved during the past decades (Chambers et al., 1981, Havenstein et al., 1994a, 1994b). The progress in the selection of meat type chickens resulted in significantly shorter fattening period up to 42 days of age at slaughter weight of 2 kg (Havenstein et al., 2003).

Regardless of genetic improvements performed by breeders, broiler hybrids still differ with regard to their efficiency due to the specific selection practices (Emmerson, 1997). Hence the evaluation of promising crosses selected for high live weight, high weight gain, feed conversion, carcass traits and adaptation potential would highly contribute to the high efficiency of broiler chicken produce.

The aforementioned traits depend on numerous
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factors, including the genotype and the gender. Many researchers have reported a substantial effect of the genotype on live weight (Ojedapo et al., 2008; Razuki et al., 2011), feed conversion, carcass composition (Havenstein et al., 2003; Santos et al., 2004; Marcato et al., 2006; Nikolova and Pavlovski, 2009), carcass weight (Rondelli et al., 2003), and abdominal fat (Barbato, 1992; Fontana et al., 1993).

A number of experiments have showed that the live body weight was also influenced by the gender (Sheuerman et al., 2003; Musa et al., 2006), feed intake and utilization (Smith et al., 1998), abdominal fat content and the carcass composition. In a study of slaughter traits of five different turkey genotypes, Hristakieva et al. (2005) established a higher percentage of the grill from the live weight in females compared to male broilers. A number of studies demonstrated that female broilers have higher breast proportions, while in males the proportion of thighs was higher (Young et al., 2001; Nikolova and Pavlovski, 2009; Abdullah et al., 2010). In addition, Mendes et al. (2004) established lower abdominal fat percentage in males than in females.

The purpose of the present experiment was to compare the meat traits of two of the most popular broiler chicken hybrids Cobb 500 and Ross 308.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The experiment was carried out in the nucleus poultry farm of the Institute of Agriculture - Stara Zagora between April and June 2012. Two broiler chicken genotypes were studied: Ross 308 and Cobb 500. For this purpose, 300 eggs of each genotype were set for incubation. The fertility rate, embryonic death rate, weight loss between incubation days 0 and 18, and the hatchability of set and fertilized eggs were determined. The number of chickens included in the study was 100 from each genotype (50 male and 50 female). The sexing was done at one day age. Experimental birds were reared on wooden shavings bedded floor, with constant access to compound feed according to the age until 49 days of age.

The live body weight was determined individually by weighing birds at 1, 14, 28, 42 and 49 days of age. Feed conversion was calculated for each genotype and for the periods between 1-14, 14-28, 28-42 and 43-49 days of age on the basis of feed intake and weight gain.

By the end of the experiment, a slaughter analysis of three female and three male broilers with a live weight close to the group average was performed. After a 12-hour fasting the live body weight as well as the weight after grilling, weight of different carcass parts (breast, thighs, wings), weight of edible offal (heart, liver, gizzard) and weight of abdominal fats were determined. The slaughter yield and carcass ratios were calculated.

For integral assessment of broiler combinations, the European Poultry Efficiency Factor (EPEF) was calculated according to the formula:

\[
\text{EPEF} = \frac{\text{live body weight (kg) x livability} \times 100}{\text{fattening period (days) x feed efficiency}}
\]

Data were statistically processed according to the gender and genotype by ANOVA/MANOVA and LSD post hoc test using Statistica 8 software (StatSoft, 2009). Results were considered significant when \( P<0.05 \). The percentages were arc sine transformed prior to the analysis.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 presents the weight of incubated eggs, the loss in their weight for the first 18 days of incubation and the outcome of incubation. The weight of Cobb 500 incubation eggs was significantly higher than the average weight of Ross 308 eggs by 2.12 g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Weight of incubation eggs (g)</th>
<th>Weight loss of incubation eggs % (days 0-18)</th>
<th>Fertility rate %</th>
<th>Embryonic death rate %</th>
<th>Hatchability % from eggs set</th>
<th>Hatchability % from fertilized eggs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ross 308</td>
<td>66.54 ± 0.34&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.91 ± 0.15&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>84.17 ± 0.84&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.42 ± 0.58&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>77.08 ± 1.25&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>91.58 ± 0.58&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb 500</td>
<td>68.66 ± 0.46&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14.74 ± 0.36&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>86.36 ± 3.76&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.34 ± 1.91&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>78.18 ± 2.32&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>90.66 ± 1.91&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a, b</sup> – different letters within a column indicate statistically significant differences at \( P<0.05 \)
Table 2: Live body weight of broilers (g) depending on the genotype and the gender at different ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Age, days</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>1 day</th>
<th>14 days</th>
<th>28 days</th>
<th>42 days</th>
<th>49 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>42 days</td>
<td>49 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross 308</td>
<td>42.86 ± 0.39&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>381.66 ± 3.83&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1115.95 ± 11.49&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2019.60 ± 19.67&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2435.29 ± 19.50&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb 500</td>
<td>44.96 ± 0.38&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>334.52 ± 3.89&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1069.29 ± 9.42&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2188.54 ± 24.63&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2598.91 ± 24.76&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Gender  | Male | Ross 308 | 43.04 ± 0.48<sup>b</sup> | 384.21 ± 5.15<sup>a</sup> | 1119.96 ± 16.53<sup>a</sup> | 2052.89 ± 30.69<sup<b</sup> | 2462.31 ± 31.58<sup>b</sup> |
|         |      | Cobb 500 | 45.87 ± 0.46<sup>a</sup> | 330.98 ± 4.93<sup>b</sup> | 1075.74 ± 13.25<sup<b</sup> | 2257.93 ± 33.78<sup>b</sup> | 2672.14 ± 34.43<sup>b</sup> |

| Gender  | Female | Ross 308 | 42.68 ± 0.61<sup>ab</sup> | 379.10 ± 5.69<sup>a</sup> | 1111.94 ± 16.10<sup>b</sup> | 1986.30 ± 24.12<sup>b</sup> | 2412.39 ± 23.90<sup>a</sup> |
|         |        | Cobb 500 | 44.03 ± 0.62<sup>a</sup> | 338.05 ± 6.36<sup>b</sup> | 1062.84 ± 12.68<sup>b</sup> | 2119.14 ± 28.95<sup>b</sup> | 2485.00 ± 23.70<sup>a</sup> |

<sup>a – b</sup> – different letters within a column indicate statistically significant differences at \( P < 0.05 \)

The relative loss of egg weight until the 18th incubation day was lower in \( \text{Cobb 500} \) (14.74 %) than in \( \text{Ross 308} \) (15.91 %). These data confirmed the earlier results of Tona et al. (2010) that the weight loss of Ross eggs was higher than that of Cobb eggs. The results did not demonstrate any statistically significant differences with regard to embryonic death rate, fertility and hatchability of set and fertilized eggs between studied genotypes.

Table 2 presents the data about live body weight of chickens at different ages depending on the genotype and the gender. The weight of hatchlings differed significantly between genotypes. Higher values were obtained for \( \text{Cobb 500} \) broilers (44.96 g) than for \( \text{Ross 308} \) (42.86 g). These differences could be associated at the highest extent to differences in the weight of incubation eggs (Table 1).

Comparison of genotypes showed that \( \text{Ross 308} \) hybrids were superior to \( \text{Cobb 500} \) at the beginning of the fattening period despite the lower live weight at hatching of both genders which was preserved until the 28th day of age. Therefore, their weight gain rate until that age was more rapid. By the end of the experiment, \( \text{Cobb 500} \) broilers attained higher average live weight, which was 6.29 % more than that of \( \text{Ross 308} \).

This trend was also valid for male \( \text{Cobb 500} \) broilers when the combined effects of genotype and gender were accounted for. \( \text{Cobb 500} \) males were by 7.85 % heavier than \( \text{Ross 308} \) males, whereas the differences between \( \text{Cobb} \) and \( \text{Ross} \) female broilers were
The dynamics in live body weight depending on the gender showed substantial differences between male and female broilers by the 42nd day of age by 6.15 % for Cobb 500 at 49 days of age. The differences between male and female Ross 308 broilers were 3.2 % at 42 days of age and even lower (2.02 %) by the end of the experiment.

Feed conversion between 1 and 14 days of age was more efficient (9-19 % higher) in male and female Ross 308 broilers than Cobb 500, whereas during the second period the values were comparable and the difference was very small (2 %) (Fig. 1).

Within the period from the 29th to the 42nd day of age, there were no differences in feed conversion between genders. Ross 308 chickens exhibited a slightly lower feed conversion compared to Cobb 500. This trend was also present between the 43rd and the 49th day of age. Over the entire experimental period, the feed intake for 1 kg weight gain for Ross 308 and Cobb 500 birds was 2.178 kg and 2.181 kg, respectively.

For better evaluation of studied broiler combinations the European Poultry Efficiency Factor was calculated, which indicates the level of genetic potential utilization of a hybrid. The EPEF data (Table 3) demonstrated that Cobb 500 birds had higher values, i.e. a higher economic efficiency than Ross 308 by 14.87 points (6.18 %).

### Table 3: European Poultry Efficiency Factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Body weight at 49 days of age, kg</th>
<th>Livability, %</th>
<th>Feed conversion (kg/kg)</th>
<th>EPEF Absolute</th>
<th>EPEF Relative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ross 308</td>
<td>2.435</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2.178</td>
<td>225.89</td>
<td>93.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb 500</td>
<td>2.599</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2.181</td>
<td>240.76</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 presents the slaughter analysis results depending on the genotype and the gender. The differences in pre-slaughter weight reflected upon roasting and grilling weights. The slaughter analysis showed higher values of these traits in both genders of Cobb 500 broilers that are outlined with a higher growth potential (1810.67 g for roasting and 1710.50 g for grilling weight) in comparison to Ross 308 broiler chickens (1645 g for roasting and 1547.67 g for grilling weight).

In the present experiment, the difference between pre-slaughter live weight of male and female birds did not entail statistically significantly changes in either grilling or roasting weights. Evidently, the body weight increase was due to body parts that do not participate in slaughter yield formation. A similar opinion was reported by Abdullah et al. (2010). In general, female broilers had higher breast weight and breast proportion from the grill than males, while the males had higher absolute and relative thigh weight. Our data were in agreement with those reported by Mendes et al. (2004), Santos et al. (2004) and Abdullah et al. (2010).

The comparison of breast and thigh weight with regard to the genotype once again showed the superiority of Cobb 500, which exhibited higher breast weight by 81 g and higher thigh weight by 25.33 g than Ross 308 broilers. The wings’ weight was higher in Cobb 500 (188.33 g) than in Ross 308 (178.33 g).

Table 5 presents relative proportions of studied carcass traits. Roasting and grilling, presented as percentage of the live weight, attained statistically higher values in Cobb 500 broilers both with regard to the genotype and the gender. The roasting of male Cobb 500 was 1.41 % higher than that of male Ross 308. Similar trends were seen in female Cobb 500 which was superior to female Ross 308. The differences with regard to other traits between both genders of Cobb 500 and Ross 308 were insignificant. In general, female broilers had higher grilling percentage from the live, higher breast and weight and percentage weight, but lower thigh weight and percentage than males. Our results support those of Mendes et al. (2004), Santos et al. (2004), Hristakieva et al. (2005) and Abdullah et al. (2010).

### CONCLUSION

The results of present experiment showed a number of differences in meat and slaughter traits between studied broiler chicken hybrids. Coob 500 broilers had higher productive performance compared Ross 308 under the same growing conditions.

The weight of hatchlings differed significantly according to the genotype. One-day-old Cobb 500
Table 4: Slaughter traits of broiler chickens depending on the genotype and the gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Live weight, g</th>
<th>Roasting, g</th>
<th>Grilling, g</th>
<th>Breast, g</th>
<th>Thighs, g</th>
<th>Wings, g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Ross 308 | 2241.67 ± 20.72
          | 1645.00 ± 16.45
          | 1547.67 ± 17.73
          | 406.67 ± 14.69
          | 527.67 ± 12.46
          | 178.33 ± 3.22 |
| Cobb 500 | 2411.67 ± 29.71
          | 1810.67 ± 23.41
          | 1710.50 ± 24.21
          | 487.67 ± 23.45
          | 553.00 ± 20.95
          | 188.33 ± 4.38 |
| Gender   |                |             |             |           |           |          |
| Male     |                |             |             |           |           |          |
| Ross 308 | 2276.67 ± 29.63
          | 1654.00 ± 29.46
          | 1556.00 ± 31.56
          | 396.33 ± 23.05
          | 546.33 ± 20.54
          | 183.67 ± 1.76 |
| Cobb 500 | 2456.67 ± 42.56
          | 1819.67 ± 47.81
          | 1710.00 ± 52.14
          | 438.67 ± 8.21
          | 586.67 ± 32.19
          | 192.33 ± 3.18 |
| Female   |                |             |             |           |           |          |
| Ross 308 | 2206.67 ± 6.67
          | 1636.00 ± 20.11
          | 1539.33 ± 22.48
          | 417.00 ± 21.00
          | 509.00 ± 2.52
          | 173.00 ± 4.51 |
| Cobb 500 | 2366.67 ± 24.04
          | 1801.67 ± 19.34
          | 1705.00 ± 13.43
          | 536.67 ± 16.76
          | 519.33 ± 4.98
          | 184.33 ± 8.35 |

\* a – b – c – different letters within a column indicate statistically significant differences at \( P < 0.05 \)

broilers were heavier than Ross 308 broilers. At the end of the experiment, Cobb 500 broilers attained a higher live weight, and were heavier than Ross 308 birds by 6.29 %. The feed intake per kg weight gain over the entire experimental period was 2.178 kg and 2.181 kg for Ross 308 and Cobb 500, respectively. Higher values of the European Poultry Efficiency Factor were established in Cobb 500 broilers, which were more economically efficient than Ross 308 by 14.87 points (6.18 %). The performed slaughter analysis showed higher values of slaughter traits in Cobb 500, which had higher growth potential (roasting weight 1810.67 g and grilling weight 1710.50 g in Cobb 500 while 1645 g for roasting and 1547.67 g for grilling in Ross 308 broilers, respectively). In male Cobb 500 broiler chickens, the roasting percentage was 74.02 %, which was 1.41 % higher than that of Ross 308 males. The same trend were observed in female birds as well, i.e. superiority of Cobb 500 over Ross 308.

Table 5: Slaughter yield and slaughter traits (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Roasting, % of live weight</th>
<th>Grilling, % of live weight</th>
<th>Breast, % of grill</th>
<th>Thighs, % of grill</th>
<th>Wings, % of grill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross 308</td>
<td>73.39 ( ^{b} )</td>
<td>69.04 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>26.27 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>34.09 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>11.40 ( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb 500</td>
<td>75.10 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>70.94 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>28.53 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>32.31 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>11.02 ( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross 308</td>
<td>72.66 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>68.33 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>25.47 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>35.11 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>11.81 ( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb 500</td>
<td>74.05 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>69.83 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>25.58 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>34.15 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>11.23 ( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross 308</td>
<td>74.14 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>69.75 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>27.07 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>33.08 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>10.98 ( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb 500</td>
<td>76.14 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>72.06 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>31.48 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>30.46 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>10.81 ( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* a – b – different letters within a column indicate statistically significant differences at \( P < 0.05 \)
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